REMARKS

Claims 1-28 are currently pending.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-3, 9-12, 17-22 and 28 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over Rowe (US Pat. 6,073,148), and rejects claims 4-8, 13-16 and 23-27 under 35 U.S.C. §103 over Rowe in view of Lakritz (US Pat 6,623,529). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

The independent claims recite, *inter alia*, initializing the computing device with a portion of font data for a particular language, the portion including less than all of the font data for the particular language, receiving input text in the computing device to initiate the document creation process, based on the input text, determining whether the portion of the font data is sufficient to create the document on the computing device, and loading a further portion of the font data to the computing device from a data storage location if the computing device cannot create the document with the portion of the font data, or slight variations thereof. None of the applied references disclose or suggest these features and therefore the references do not anticipate or render obvious the claims of the application.

In particular, the references do not disclose or suggest initializing the computing device with a portion of font data for a particular language, the portion including less than all of the font data for the particular language. The Office Action refers to Rowe at col. 28, lines 7-11 as supposedly disclosing initializing the computing device with a portion of font data for a particular language, the portion including less than all of the font data for the particular language. However, this portion of Rowe indicates:

> "When a font reference is encountered, step 400, the software must determine whether the desired font is already available to it, step 402. This would be the case, for example, if the font had already been stored in a cache (for example, in step 247, illustrated in FIG. 10) or stored on a local data store, such as a hard disc drive 28 (illustrated in FIG. 1). If the font is available, step 404, naturally the software would use it."

This does not disclose "initializing the computing device with a portion of font data for a particular language, the portion including less than all of the font data for the particular

7

language" as required by claims 1 and 9 and similarly in claims 18 and 28. There is no disclosure of initializing a computing device with a portion of a font data for a particular language, the portion including less than all of the font data for the particular language. Rowe does not disclose the concept of utilizing a portion but less than all of the font data for a particular language.

Further, the Office Action asserts that Rowe discloses loading a further portion of the font data to the computing device from a data storage location if the computing device cannot create the document with the portion of the font data at col. 28, lines 5-10 and 55-60. Rowe discloses that a desired font can be downloaded, but does not disclose loading a further portion of the font data if the computing device cannot create the document with the portion of the font data. Rowe has not disclosure of portions of font data, initializing with a portion of font data including less than all of the font data of a particular language, or loading the further portion of the font data as claimed. As such, Rowe does not anticipate claims 1-3, 9-12, 17-22 and 28 and withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

Regarding the obviousness rejection of claims 4-8, 13-16 and 23-27, Lakritz does not solve the deficiencies of Rowe regarding the above noted claims, from which claims 4-8, 13-16 and 23-27 depend. Accordingly, none of these claims are obvious and withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

In light of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that all pending claims 1-28 are in condition for allowance. Prompt reconsideration and allowance of the present application are therefore earnestly solicited.

The Office is authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or § 1.17 or credit of any overpayment to Kenyon & Kenyon Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON

Dated: May 11, 2006

David J. Zibelli
Registration No. 36,394

Kenyon & Kenyon
1500 K Street, N.W. – Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005-1257
Tel: (202) 220-4200
Fax: (202) 220-4201
609539